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1. Lime Industry Sector
Executive summary

In a climate of moderate economic growth and political challenges as Brexit, the European Commission seeks to be the front runner in climate change policies and revert the linear development pathway applicable till now to a more circular one. Innovation is considered as the tool to enable this to happen and at the same time as enhancing the European industry’s competitiveness.

Lime and limestone are basic compounds which are enablers to many other industries. Without these base materials, many much more involved applications would simply not function in the same way. When you first consider the simplicity of the chemistry involved, innovation would not be the most likely word you would associate with this sector. However, the intention of this report is to change your view on this. The sector manages to pursue innovation in many areas, from fuel consumption, application development, mining and production technology to really have a sustainable innovation pipeline.

European Lime Association (EuLA) has in the last two years set out on a path towards looking at opportunities where the sector can work in a more collaborative way in terms of innovation. It is impressive how member companies have embraced this initiative, and we are breaking down some of the conventional held notions that innovation must be a closely guarded company secret, to looking at some projects where no single member company could undertake the work alone, and to work together for the benefit of the sector.

In this report, we have outlined some of the work that the member companies have already engage in the past to help our journey toward these policy/industry goals. These projects illustrate the company efforts to reduce CO₂ process related emissions through carbon capture and use, improve energy efficiency, lower environmental impact during the use phase and at the same time as improve the performance of lime products during the use in multiple applications. The innovation projects, part of this brochure cover the lime extraction and processing operations as well as end uses in Belgium, Germany, Italy, France, Spain, UK, Finland and the replicability across other European countries and beyond the EU is immense.

Julian Danvers
EuLA Innovation TF Chairman
What is lime and its uses

Lime is a mineral product derived from limestone by an industrial process. Naturally occurring limestone is composed almost exclusively of calcium carbonate.

The lime production process is based on a chemical reaction induced by heating calcium carbonate (CaCO3) to produce quicklime (CaO). Inevitably, this reaction also produces CO2. These emissions of CO2, which are inherent to the lime production process, are called process emissions. These process emissions alone constitute 70% of the total CO2 emissions from the lime production process, and they cannot be avoided.

Lime industry is committed to reducing combustion and indirect CO2 emissions, however the only possibility lies with the deployment of reliable and competitive carbon capture technologies, knowing that modern lime kilns are already highly energy efficient (close to the efficiency limit).

The Innovation in the lime sector is achieved through:

- Sustainable production.
- Responsible resources management.
- Delivering quality added valued products.
- Creating value and support local economies
- Enabling the recycling of end of cycle materials in steel, glass and construction industry.
- Valorizing residues and turning them into raw materials for new processes, such as turning sulfur into gypsum for the plaster board industry.

The lime industry has a long tradition in Europe. Lime is produced in industrial kilns all over Europe and is a fundamental, integral part of Europe’s industrial base. As lime often goes unseen, its importance and versatility are largely unknown.
Due to its particular chemical characteristics, lime is a fundamental raw material used in a large number of industries and different economic activities, and is therefore essential to many aspects of many people’s lives. As an essential and enabling material, the use of lime for multiple sectors for the year 2015 is shown below.

**SALES BY SECTORS, 2015**

- **STEEL 38%**
- **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 16%**
- **CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS 21%**
- **CIVIL ENGINEERING 6%**
- **AGRICULTURE 2%**
- **EXPORT 3%**
- **OTHER INDUSTRIAL CONSUMERS 14%**
- **CHEMICAL INDUSTRY (CONFIDENTIAL) 0%**
Did you know that...

...EACH EU CITIZEN USES AROUND 150GR OF LIME PER DAY?

A key enabling material for many industries (in e.g. steel, aluminum, paper, glass) **no high-grade steel without lime!**

A key product for environmental applications (in e.g. Flue gas cleaning, waste water treatment) **lime is the most economic material able to absorb many pollutants!**

A corner stone for **agriculture** (calcium for soil and crop improvement) as well as for animal food.

A multifunctional binder for construction (plasters & mortars) and public works (asphalt pavement and soil stabilization) **Lime is an efficient component for the road constructions and building isolation of tomorrow.**

An **essential mineral product**, but often unseen (in e.g. toothpaste, sugar, ceramics).

...LIME IS A SPONGE FOR CO₂?

In applications such as mortars and soil stabilization, lime functions as a carbon sink and absorbs up to 80% of the carbon emitted during its production process. In Precipitated Calcium Carbonate (PCC) for paper production, 100% of process CO₂ is re-absorbed.

**is the only mineral product that can be used to produce steel and sugar in the same day**
...LIME IS THE MATERIAL HELPING TO PROTECT OUR ENVIRONMENT?
Environmental applications have been the main driver for new lime applications in recent. Acid rain causes lakes and streams to become acidic and can damage trees. Lime is used to treat industrial waste gases to remove acidic gases to reduce acid rain and so protect our forests.

...68% OF LIME IS RECYCLABLE?
Lime is used as an input in a wide range of applications and end-products. Most of those are recyclable. The recycling rate of lime in steel applications for instance, is estimated to be around 95%, in civil engineering works (concrete, bricks, lime mortars and soil stabilization) the recycling rate of lime is estimated to be around 65%. Lime can also help to add value to some by-product and wastes. For example, the use of lime in flue gas treatment allows to create gypsum, which is reused in construction markets such as plasterboards. The treatment of sludge with lime allows to recycle some wastes into bio-solids which are re-used in agriculture.
INNOVATION IN THE LIME SECTOR
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Innovation in lime sector

The lime sector is innovative and this can also be seen in the number of applications submitted by lime companies at the European Patent Office (EPO) and World Intellectual Property Organisation (WIPO) for the use of lime in multiple markets. Notably product innovation is taking place, as the sector provides highly standardized products to mature markets. Patents emerging from the industry itself target own manufacturing processes, product innovation and/or customization as well as innovation in lime use.

A comprehensive list of patents requested or granted inside and outside our industry is by far larger. A query launched at WIPO searching for “Hydrated lime” while excluding “Quicklime” returns some 1300 patents from WIPO and EPO over the last 20 years. Similarly, a query searching for “Quicklime” while excluding “Hydrated lime” returns an additional 800 patents. Important to stress is the fact that fundamental and applied Research & Development (R&D) is clearly developed at large scale by the large companies accounting for 67% of the total patents. The SMEs, use the patent or innovate on small scale. Joint R&D for the lime sector for company projects is performed in Germany at the Lime association (BVK).

Concerning products, the most significant innovation are “High Surface Hydrates” which feature an active reaction face of > 35m²/g. Adding activated carbon and/or other secret ingredients can further customize these hydrates. They are applied to various flue gas streams in industry to effectively capture pollutants like HCl; Dioxins; Furans; Heavy Metals. Customized hydrates are considered BAT in several BREFs.

Other than in the EU, Lime is increasingly added to asphalt mixtures in the USA where Lime is known to enhance the durability of asphalt pavements. The field experience from North American State agencies estimate that hydrated lime – at the usual rate of 1-1.5% in the mixture (based on dry aggregate) – gives rise to the durability of asphalt pavements by 2 to 10 years. Neither US Life Cycle Cost Assessments in Hot Mixed Asphalt nor research published “Improvement of Quality of Asphalt by Addition of hydrated Lime – Experiments on a practical Scale” (Germany, AiF-Nr. 12542) are sufficiently acknowledged by public responsible officers across Europe.

PATENT APPLICATION FOR LIME PRODUCTS

QUICKLIME 38%
HYDRATED LIME 62%

REFERENCES:
Anaerobic Digester (AD) is a process where micro-organisms break down some organic biomass in anaerobic conditions to produce biogas, \( CH_4 + CO_2 \).

Biomass: refers to any source of organic carbon that is renewed rapidly as part of the carbon cycle. It is derived from plant materials but can also include animal materials. 1st generation biomass/biofuels: first generation biofuels are made from the sugars and vegetable oils found in arable crops, which can be easily extracted using conventional technology. 2nd generation biomass/biofuels: known as advanced biofuels, are fuels that can be manufactured from various types of biomass. Second generation biofuels are made from lignocellulosic biomass or woody crops, agricultural residues or waste, which makes it harder to extract the required fuel.

Carbon Dioxide Storage Mineralisation (CSM): an alternative to conventional geologic storage is carbon mineralization, where \( CO_2 \) is reacted with metal cations to form carbonate minerals. Ex situ CSM: the carbonation reaction occurs above ground, within a separate reactor or industrial process. In-situ CSM: in situ mineralization, or mineral trapping, is a component of underground geologic sequestration, in which a portion of the injected \( CO_2 \) reacts with alkaline rock present in the target formation to form solid carbonate species.

Carbonate looping: in the Carbonate Looping Process lime (\( CaO \)) reacts with \( CO_2 \) from the flue gas in a fluidized bed reactor (Carbonator) producing limestone (\( CaCO_3 \)). \( CO_2 \)-free flue gas is released into the environment. In the second reactor (Calciner) the limestone is calcined and thereby \( CO_2 \) is released. The newly formed lime is lead back into the first reactor and consequently the loop is closed. In a third reactor (Combustor) coal is burnt with air and the heat is indirectly transferred to the Calciner to satisfy heat requirements for the calcination process.

Carbonation is the natural process in which calcium hydroxide reacts with carbon dioxide and is transformed into calcium carbonate. The carbonation reaction in mortars and other alkaline materials consist of diffusion of the \( CO_2 \) through the pore structure and its dissolution in the capillary pore water where its reaction with calcium hydroxide occurs with the precipitation of calcium carbonate crystals (\( CaCO_3 \)) known as hardening mechanism. Quicklime reaction: \( CaCO_3 + heat \rightarrow CaO + CO_2 \); Hydrated lime (slaked lime) reaction: \( CaO + H_2O \rightarrow Ca(OH)_2 \); Carbonation reaction: \( Ca(OH)_2 + CO_2 + H_2O \rightarrow CaCO_3 + 2H_2O \).

Circular economy is a policy definition used for a regenerative system in which resource input, waste, emission, energy leakage are minimized by closing, narrowing material and energy loops.

Combined heat and power (CHP): cogeneration or combined heat and power is the use of a heat engine or power station to generate electricity and useful heat at the same time.
Direct Separation Reactor (DSR) refers to re-engineering the existing process flows of a traditional calciner by indirectly heating the limestone via a special steel vessel. This system enables pure CO₂ to be captured as it is released from the limestone, as the furnace exhaust gases are kept separate.

Emerging technologies are those technical innovations which represent the potential for progressive developments within a field for competitive advantage.

Flue gas treatment (FGT): Industrial processes generate flue gases. These often contain pollutants such as sulfur oxides (SO₂ + SO₃), hydrochloric acid (HCl), hydrofluoric acid (HF) as well as heavy metals, dioxins and furans. Lime, hydrated lime and limestone-based products are highly efficient reagents for capturing contaminants and are used in flue gas treatment (FGT). When mixed with other components, they also remove so-called micro-pollutants.

Innovation: refers to the implementation of a new or significantly improved product (good or service), or process, a new marketing method, or a new organisational method in business practices, workplace organisation or external relations (OECD & Eurostat. 2005, p. 146). The innovation can be grouped into: 1. Product Innovation; 2. New innovative methods of production; 3. Market innovation; 4. Organisation innovation; 5. System Innovation (MinGuide. 2016, p. 10-14).

Organic Rankine Cycle (ORC) is a well-known and widely spread form of energy production from heat, mostly in biomass and geothermal applications, but great rises in solar and heat recovery applications are also expected.

Public Private Partnership (PPP) is a broad term is used for a funding model involving partners from private and public entities that includes funding, planning, building, operation, maintenance and divestiture of projects of interest. PPP arrangements are useful for large projects that require highly-skilled workers and a significant cash outlay to get started.

Return on Investment (ROI): is a profitability ratio that calculates the profits of an investment as a percentage of the original cost over time.

Technology assessment (TA) is a scientific, interactive, and communicative process that aims to contribute to the formation of public and political opinion on societal aspects of science and technology.

Technology life-cycle (TLC) describes the commercial gain of a product through the expense of research and development phase, and the financial return during its “vital life”. Some technologies, such as steel, paper or cement manufacturing, have a long lifespan (with minor variations in technology incorporated with time) while in other cases, such as electronic or pharmaceutical products, the lifespan may be quite short.

Technology Readiness Level (TRL): is a method of estimating technology maturity of
Critical Technology Elements (CTE) of a program during the acquisition process. They are determined during a Technology Readiness Assessment (TRA) that examines program concepts, technology requirements, and demonstrated technology capabilities. TRLs are based on a scale from 1 to 9 with 9 being the most mature technology. The use of TRLs enables consistent, uniform discussions of technical maturity across different types of technology. A comprehensive approach and discussion about TRLs has been published by the European Association of Research and Technology Organisations (EARTO, 2014).

**Technology transfer, known also as transfer of technology (TOT),** is the process of transferring (disseminating) technology from the places and ingroups of its origination to wider distribution among more people and places. It occurs along various axes: among universities, from universities to businesses, from large businesses to smaller ones, from governments to businesses, across borders, both formally and informally, and both openly and surreptitiously.

**REFERENCES:**


2. Innovation in Quarry

- Blast-Control
- Less fines
- Repurpose lime by-products
- Life in Quarries
- Improve water footprint
BLAST-CONTROL
Productivity improvement in open-pit mining and quarrying by means of an integrated control system for blasting and production-flow optimization

cordis.europa.eu/project/rcn/44832_en.html

Scope of work
The use of blast, in open-pit exploitations is a challenging task due to rock-fragmentation issued from the blast and to rock-mass hazards. To respond to European environmental constraints, the control of risks and valorization of natural resources need to be addressed together.

This project aim to support industry for monitoring and blasting control to optimize the primary production process, including drilling, blasting, loading, haulage and primary crushing, as well as the impact of fragmentation of blasted rock. Solving this problem requires, first, to be able to monitor and to quantify continuously the impact of fragmentation on basic operations, and, secondly, to guarantee with blasting the resulting fragmentation which optimizes production-flow and minimizes costs.

Status of the project
Project finalized in 2001. The following deliverables can be reported:
- Blast oriented production control system adapted for open-pit mining and quarrying industry operations [9].
- Assist to rebuild the primary production chain starting with blasting, which is often discontinuous and fuzzy for analysis.
- It allows to control and analyze productivity of each single production chain starting at the blast stage.
- It allows to improve productivity of each single production chain, by acting on blasting or other production parameters.
- A methodology for systematic and accurate assessment of the blast geometry.
- Measurement and software system for blast control and blast design.
- Neural Network system for fragmentation prediction aligned with the continuous data acquisition methodology [10].
- The system is operational and is linked to the database of the production control system.

Type
Demonstration
Partners
Leader: Nitro Bickford (FR)
Lime: Calcinor & Lhoist
Funding
EU/FP4/BRITE (funding rate not reported)
Duration
09.1998 – 12.2001
TRL
Technology Readiness Level: TRL 6-8

Commercial deployment

Contribution to

REFERENCES:
LESS FINES • Less fines production in aggregate and industrial minerals industry
cordis.europa.eu/project/rcn/54228_en.html

Scope of work
Every year in Europe the aggregate and industrial minerals industry produces around 1.35 billion tons of blasted rock. During the blasting operations, an amount of around 20% of the material is smaller than 10 mm to 20 mm and is too fine to be used efficiently and generally goes in the waste dump. The aim of the project is to reduce the amount of lost material by 50% through the adaptation of the explosives and timing procedure to the natural breakage characteristic of the rock.

The project consortium is formed of nine partners from four EU member states and consists of the two major producers of explosives in Europe, a large Swedish limestone producer, a Spanish cement and aggregate producer with 11 quarry sites and an Austrian aggregate producer (SME operation) with 3 quarries. Four blast research centers are partners in the project.

Status of the project
Project finalized in 2004. The following deliverables can be reported:

- Mining engineers defined a comprehensive methodology entitled Energy Controlled Blasting (ECB) was defined (Leoben); designed by the blast centers and tested at quarries [11, 12].
- Important insight into the way rocks fracture and disintegrate when blasted was gained by performing a battery of physical and chemical analyses on several rock samples in the laboratory.
- The environmental benefit of ECB is a significant reduction in the amount of fine material produced.
- Economic benefits to the quarrying operation in the form of reduced operating costs and increased output were also observed.

REFERENCES:
Scope of work
The optimization of the waste flows is a challenge during and after the operations to manage properly the raw material deposit and extend the lifetime of the quarry through better management of waste and by-products [13]. These practices were used by industrial minerals industry extensively even before EU policies (i.e. Waste Management BREF (2006) Mining Waste Directive (2006)) were in place. This project illustrates the repurposing and resource optimization from a limestone quarry operations in Finland by Nordkalk Corporation.

Status of the project
Ongoing practice. The following deliverables can be reported:
- The limestone, the main product, is extracted and processed (grounded and the concentrated calcite is separated from the slurry by flotation) in Finland operations and filter sand are a by-product estimated to be around 100 KT/year [14].
- The overall volume estimated for these by-products is estimated in the range of 5.7MT from 1960 onwards [13].
- Filter sand minerals (such as calcite, wollastonite, dolomite and silicates) are produced as a by-product in the extraction and processing stage. Since the 1960’s a part of this filter sand has been used as a part of mixture of a lime fertilizer for organic agriculture as certified from Finnish Food Agency. The use for these two markets is 50/50.
- The company has also commercialized the filter sand to be used in earth and environmental construction.
- This is a good example of resource efficiency and repurposing of mineral material and minimize the waste volumes.

Type Innovation action

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Innovation action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Partners</td>
<td>Leader/Lime: Nordkalk (FI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding</td>
<td>Own company project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>1960 – to date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRL</td>
<td>Technology Readiness Level: TRL 8-9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Commercial deployment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRL</th>
<th>Real world</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Simulated world</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Research lab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Idea identified</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contribution to

REFERENCES:
**LIFE IN QUARRIES**

lifeinquarries.eu

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Demonstration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Partners</td>
<td>Leader/Lime: Fediex (BE)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding</th>
<th>EU/LIFE+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total project: 5 Mill EUR</td>
<td>EU contribution: 2.8 Mill EUR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>02.2015 – 01.2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TRL</td>
<td>Technology Readiness Level: TRL 6-7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Scope of work**

The LIFE IN QUARRIES project aims to develop biodiversity in active quarries by:

- Testing and defining methods for the restoration, maintenance and management of pioneer species and habitats.
- Testing and defining methods for preparing the physical quarry infrastructure during exploitation processes, to facilitate the establishment of restoration plans that will increase ecosystem services and biodiversity following exploitation.
- Identifying lock-in situations and challenges for biodiversity development in active quarries such as legal constraints, lack of biodiversity management awareness etc.
- Developing the awareness of quarry managers, public administration managers and other local stakeholders for biodiversity management.
- Demonstrating best practices of adapting management throughout the complete exploitation process for up to 24 Belgian quarries and sharing this experience in the European context.

**Status of the project**

Project is ongoing. Key objectives consist of:

- Training for CEOs and staff members of the 24 Walloon quarries (see fig.) and six EU quarries, including workshops, development of factsheets and guidelines for the creation and management of temporary habitats in quarries. Development of supporting videos and a picture database aimed at species recognition for quarry workers.
- Analysis & inventory of actual and potential ecosystem services and green infrastructure developed by the extractive industry.
- External communication, dissemination for other EU quarries and experience-sharing events with relevant partners in France, Germany [15].

**Contribution to**


---

**REFERENCES:**

INNOVATION IN THE LIME SECTOR

IMPROVE WATER FOOTPRINT
Multi-stakeholder Water Management Platform to manage water resources for quarrying activities
ima-europe.eu/content/ima-europe-2012-conference

Scope of work
The operation of multiple stakeholders (drinking water, planning authorities, mining company) within the same area and the competition for water resources is a challenging task, which requires a multi-stakeholder management system that accommodates needs and secures access to all concerned stakeholders. The Water management projects consist in illustrating the establishment of this system and the modus operandi.

Status of the project
Water management platform is operational and the following can be reported:
- Motivation of all the working group members to put resources and arrive at a solution despite the sectoral differences to create a real common spirit between all the members towards a final common solution operational to all.
- All stakeholder inclusive platform that allowed the concerned stakeholders to establish a level of trust to share their respective data.
- The data sharing process, allowed the concerned stakeholders to explain their concerns and demands.
- The selection of a common and neutral Engineering Company to conduct the feasibility study.
- This exchange platform allowed the regional authorities to have a helicopter view and understand all constrains and demands and make the best decision on the condition to access the water resource.
- This platform allowed to ensure a cohesion between legislations (Ex: conditions of permit for water pumping in quarry are not the same as for a drinking water permit). This process allowed to find a pragmatic and compatible legal solutions [16].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Demonstration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Partners</td>
<td>Leader/ Lime: Carmeuse (BE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding</td>
<td>Own company project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>2003 – 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRL</td>
<td>Technology Readiness Level:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TRL 7-9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contributions to
Raw material optimization – Water management platform – Stakeholder involvement.

REFERENCES:
3. Process Emissions Mitigation

- AGICAL+
- BiOxySorb
- CaO2
- CARINA
- CaLEnergy
- ECO
- ECO2
- LEILAC
- SCARLET
- CSM
- Innovative CCU
AGICAL+ • Microalgae as CO₂ capture solution

agical.eu

Scope of work

The objectives of this project were two:

- Reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases and the consumption of fossil fuel linked to glass (high gas temperatures) and lime production with low temperatures).
- Capturing CO₂ in the flue gases using microalgae cultures, and then processing these microalgae to extract biofuel, to be used again in the product process to reduce the consumption of fossil fuels. The goal was to demonstrate that it is possible to capture 360 tonnes of CO₂ per year and per hectare of microalgae, thus producing 200 tonnes of biomass, and extracting up to 2460 GJ of biofuel.
- CO₂ is directly used by the algae in the photosynthesis process, and the heat contained in the gases is used for thermal control of the culture and to power surrounding process, thus maximizing the environmental benefit of the pilots. Hence, demonstration on both production processes would show the versatility of the technology.

Status of the project

Project was terminated August 2013. Key deliverables of the project consist of:

- Two pilots. The pilots were to be implemented in two phases, first for the glass furnace, and then for the lime kiln, to allow for optimisation step. Therefore, the productivity of the first pilot was set at 150T/year, and the productivity of the second at 200 T/year.
- The evaluation of the pilot results led the partners to discontinue the project since.
- Throughout the 7 months testing period on the small pilot, the highest average yield was 10 tonnes/year/ha. Based on remaining findings the partners thus redesigned some parameters of the culture and estimated the absolute maximum potential biomass production to be 80 tonnes/ha/year. This would mean to capture 144 tonnes CO₂/year.
- Economic evaluation indicated that the cost of the biofuel produced would be in the order of 100-fold more expensive than commercially available (bio)fuels [17].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Pilot project (Pilot)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Partners</td>
<td>Leader: AGC (BE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lime: Carmeuse (BE)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding

- EU/LIFE+ Programme
- Total project: 9.2Mill EUR
- EU contribution: 3.6 Mill EUR

Duration

- Project terminated on 08.2013

TRL

- Technology Readiness Level: TRL 4

Commercial deployment

- TRL 9
- TRL 8
- TRL 7
- TRL 6
- TRL 5
- TRL 4
- TRL 3
- TRL 2
- TRL 1

Pilot plant for the Algae growth.

Contribution to

Carbon sequestration – Renewable energy – Emission reduction – Multiple policy objectives.

REFERENCES:

BIOXYSORB • Biomass co-combustion under both air- and oxy-fuel conditions
bioxysorb.eu-projects.de

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Innovation action (pilot)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Partners</td>
<td>Leader: IFK (DE) Lime: Lhoist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding</td>
<td>EU RFCS under FP7 Total project: 2.1 Mill EUR EU contribution: 1.3 Mill EUR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>07.2013 – 06.2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRL</td>
<td>Technology Readiness Level: TRL 6-7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scope of work
The main objective of BiOxySorb is two-fold:
• Assess experimentally and techno-economically of 1st and 2nd generation biomass co-combustion under both air- and oxy-fuel conditions at various co-combustion ratios in combination with flexible, low cost SOx, HCl and Hg emission control by sorbent injection.
• Economic low carbon power production and emissions control for future and flexible biomass co-fired power stations.

Status of the project
Project finalized in 2016. The following achievements can be reported:
• Assessment behaviour of emission (PM, HCl, CO, NOx, SOx and Hg) of various first and second generation biomasses and co-combustion shares under air and oxy-fuel conditions.
• Choice and evaluation of sorbents (e.g. alkalines, earth-alkalines, and activated carbon or lignite/coal coke) and investigation of their application for control of HCl, SO2, SO3 and Hg emissions under air and oxy-fuel firing conditions.
• Investigation of necessary plant modifications for high thermal share biomass co-milling and co-combustion and for injection of sorbents.
• Techno-economical study of different degrees of biomass co-combustion and emission control by sorbent injection under air and oxy-fuel conditions. Utility and technology and supplies manufacturer (E.ON, LHOIST, GBS) will use the data generated in the experimental small, technical and large scale tests to assess the impact of the co-combustion and sorbents on full-cycle, full-scale power plants and to determine their impact on cycle optimization, ash valorisation and emissions control [18].
• Development of generic guidelines covering important considerations to be made in an overall economic optimisation of co-fired coal/biomass systems and the application of sorbents for emission control both with and without oxy-fuel combustion.

REFERENCES:
CaO₂
Calcium carbonate looping for coal power plants
cao2.eu

Scope of work
The objectives of this project were two:
• The CaO₂ project intends to demonstrate in a large pilot (2-3 MWth) a process optimisation of the CO₂ capture post combustion calcium looping system for coal based power plants. This process scheme is intended to minimize or even avoid the need of a CO₂ recycle to the oxy-fired circulating fluidized bed calciner, by exploiting the endothermic nature of the calcination reaction and the large solid flow circulating from the carbonator.
• The practical realization requires a profound redesign of this novel reactor configuration, investigating the implications of the new conditions in the key reactions at particle level in the system (combustion, calcination, carbonation, sulfation), using and adapting reactor and process models to the new operating conditions and deriving experimental data which are relevant at pilot scale.

Status of the project
Project was terminated in 2017. Key deliverables of the project consist of:
• Reduce the heat requirements in the calciner and therefore the consumption of coal and O₂.
• Reduce the calciner size for the same heat input (to keep similar gas velocities in the CFB calciner) and the size of the ASU, which implies a decrease of investment costs.
• The validation of the concept will be done in La Pereda Cal pilot plant in Asturias (Spain), the biggest Cal facility in the world. Basic mass and heat balance calculations reveal that the standard Cal system can reduce about 20-30% the energy requirements in the calciner by switching to a configuration as proposed in the CaO₂ project [19].

Contribution to

Type
Innovation action (pilot)

Partners
Leader: Endesa Generation (ES)
Lime: Carmeuse

Funding
EU/FP7 RFCR Programme
Total project: 3.2Mill EUR
EU contribution: 1.6 Mill EUR

Duration
06.2014 – 05.2017

TRL
Technology Readiness Level:
TRL 6

Commercial deployment

| TRL 9 | Real world |
| TRL 8 |
| TRL 7 |
| TRL 6 |
| Simulated world |
| TRL 5 |
| TRL 4 |
| TRL 3 |
| TRL 2 |
| Research lab |
| TRL 1 |

REFERENCES:
**CARINA • Carbon Capture by Indirectly Heated Carbonate Looping Process**
est.tu-darmstadt.de/index.php/de/projekte/eu-rfcs-carina

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Innovation action (pilot)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Partners</td>
<td>Leader: TU Darmstadt (DE) Lime: Lhoist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding</td>
<td>EU RFCS under 7FP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total project: 2.5 Mill EUR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EU contribution: 1.6 Mill EUR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>07.2010 – 09.2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRL</td>
<td>Technology Readiness Level: TRL 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Scope of work**

To achieve a technological proof-of-concept and a detailed economical evaluation for the retrofit of an existing coal fired power plant. The process should yield higher plant efficiency and lower CO₂ avoidance costs than other CO₂ capture technologies which are currently under investigation. Screening of different sorbents (i.e. lime), to investigate the impact of the heat pipe surface on the attrition of the sorbents. Additionally, the fluidization behavior of sorbents with extremely low fluidization velocities and the selection of sorbents at reduced calcination temperatures will be examined. Investigating and testing a new concept with an indirectly heated calciner using heat pipes, offering even higher plant efficiency and lower CO₂ avoidance costs than the oxy-fired standard carbonate looping process.

**Status of the project**

Project finalized in 2016. The following achievements can be reported:

- The proposed concept is based on a fluidized bed heat exchanger system transferring heat from a combustor to the calciner by means of heat pipes. The main advantage of an externally fired calciner is the avoidance of oxygen production by an air separation unit. The estimated gain in electrical net efficiency is around 2-3% points, compared to a directly fired calciner [20].
- The heat input into the calciner is no longer effected directly, but indirectly by means of heaters. This results in a multitude of process engineering advantages.
- The standard carbonate looping promises low energy penalties for post-combustion CO₂-capture and is particularly suited for retrofitting existing power plants [21].
- The concept was tested at a 1 MWth test plant at TU Darmstadt. The process optimization for reactor temperatures, fluidization velocity of the calciner and sorbent materials as well as a feasibility study for a full-scale plant was evaluated [22].

**References**:

**CALENERGY**

Chemical Looping 4 Combustion Technology

netl.doe.gov/research/coal/energy-systems/advanced-combustion/project-information/proj?k=FE0009484

**Scope of work**

Alstom Power, through prior U.S. DOE funding, has been developing a limestone-based chemical looping combustion technology. The objectives of this project were to:

- Demonstrate in a large pilot (2-3 MWth) a Alstom’s Chemical Looping Combustion Technology with CO₂ Capture for New and Retrofit Coal-Fired Power.
- Enabling a full analysis of the process through an engineering system and economic study along with the development of a screening tool for process improvements.
- Analyses to include an evaluation of pressurizing the limestone chemical looping combustion process.

**Status of the project**

Project was terminated in 2017. Key deliverables of the project consist of:

- This project focuses on development of the limestone chemical looping combustion system [23].
- The low-cost limestone oxygen carrier along with less-expensive more-efficient reactors drives down capital and operating costs relative to conventional systems.
- This project addressed technology gaps and generating data to support scale-up via continuous, stable operation of a 1 MWe prototype system [24].

**Contribution to**


**REFERENCES:**


**Scope of work**

The aim of this research project was to investigate the recycling of anthropogenic CO₂ into the natural carbon cycle using lime products. In practice, the capture of CO₂ from flue gases with the help of a limestone-CO₂-washing process similar to the naturally occurring carbonate weathering process. Subsequently after the CO₂ cleaning process the “produced” calcium bicarbonate-rich solution (mineralized water) should be returned to limnic and marine environments as natural buffer [25].

**Status of the project**

The project was finished in 2012. The CO₂ scrubbing process with limestone powder in solution was successfully demonstrated at the waste water facility in Bad Orb. During performance tests a reduction of CO₂ within the flue gas by up to 13% was achieved [26]. A four-five stage cleaning system could lead to a CO₂ reduction of up to 80%, as modelling and calculations revealed.

**Contribution to**

Carbon capture & use (CCU) – Natural carbon cycle – Lime technology – CO₂ reduction.

**REFERENCES:**


Scope of work

German economy relies heavily on an economically optimal solution for CO₂ reduction due to the withdrawal from nuclear power generation, almost 100% import of natural gas and the particularly high quotas for CO₂ reduction. This study assesses the following points by constructing a pilot plant CO₂ scrubber, chemical analysis and modeling:

- Optimization of CO₂ reduction performance,
- Verification of the ecological safety of process water (bicarbonate rich solution) discharge into limnic or marine waters.
- Modeling of the expected positive biochemical and ecological effects.

Status of the project

Project to be finalized in 12.2017. The following achievements can be reported:

- Cascaded scrubber system to remove CO₂ with limestone powder and produce ready to use buffered water.
- Pre-trial finished. Pilot plant build. Tests at IUTA (Duisburg)
- Bio- and ecological modelling to test the harmlessness of process water discharge and biochemical effects are ongoing.
- Location for pilot plant: coal-fired plant Wilhelmshaven (Uniper). Test campaign from March to June 2017 [27].

Contribution to

CO₂ reduction – Carbon capture and utilization (CCU) – Buffering of aquatic systems – Freshwater restauiration – Multiple policy objectives.

REFERENCES:

[27] ECO₂ reports in German are available upon request. info@eula.eu.
**LEILAC • Low Emissions Intensity Lime And Cement**

project-leilac.eu

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Type</strong></th>
<th><strong>Innovation action (pilot)</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Partners</td>
<td>Leader: CALIX (Australia) Lime: Lhoist &amp; Tarmac</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Funding**
- EU / H2020
  - Total project: 20.8 MILL EUR
  - EU contribution: 11.9 MILL EUR

**Duration**
- 01.2016 – 12.2020

**TRL**
- **Technology Readiness Level:** TRL 7-8

---

**Scope of work**
The aim of the project is to develop in situ CO₂ capture process for lime/dolime and cement manufacturing:
- LEILAC will pilot the Direct Separation Reactor (DSR) advanced technology that has the potential to capture unavoidable process emissions and enable both Europe’s cement and lime industries to reduce emissions by around 60% to 70%.
- Direct Separation provides a common platform for CCS in both the lime and cement industries. Calix’s DSR technology has been used successfully to produce niche “caustic MgO” since 2012, while trapping the plant’s process CO₂ emissions. The DSR is an in-situ CO₂ capture technique that requires no additional chemicals or equipment.
- LEILAC project innovation consists in the temperature scale up the DSR.

**Status of the project**
Project ongoing until 2020. The following progress can be reported:
- LEILAC will develop, build, operate and test at 8 tons per hour limestone feed rate (~100 tons per day lime) pilot plant at Heidelberg Cement’s plant in Lixhe (BE), demonstrating that over 95% of the process CO₂ emissions could be captured [24].
- This technology can be proven at a suitable scale (approximately within 5 years for the lime industry, and likely more than 10 years for larger cement plant).
- In a lime plant, the unit will just replace the kiln. This design could also work with alternative fuels.
- Techno-economic analysis, and Life Cycle Analysis will be conducted at pilot scale to assess opportunity for technology’s scale and deployment via a Roadmap [28, 29].

**Contribution to**

---

**REFERENCES:**
**SCARLET • Scale-up of Calcium Carbonate Looping Technology for Efficient CO₂ Capture from Power & Industrial Plants**

**project-scarlet.eu**

**Scope of work**

Calcium Carbonate Looping (CCL) is a technology tested for low-cost post combustion CO₂ capture for fossil fuels using limestone based solid sorbents. It combines the advantages of a small efficiency penalty of 5% to 7% points and a low CO₂ capture cost compared to competing technologies currently under development. First tests performed on the 1 MWₜₜₜ scale have confirmed the feasibility of the technology.

- Long-term pilot testing of the CCL process at 1 MWₜₜₜ scale at TU Darmstadt.
- Development and validation of scale-up tools and guidelines.
- Design, cost estimation, risk assessment study for a 20 MWₜₜₜ CCL pilot plant.
- Techno-economic and environmental impact of commercial full scale CCL application.

**Status of the project**

Project finalized in 2016. The following achievements can be reported:

- Long-term pilot testing with hard coal and lignite. Four long-term CCL test campaigns, were successfully performed. In more than 1,200 hours, CO₂ was captured under a wide range of parameters achieving capture rates up to 94% in the carbonator with a corresponding total capture efficiency of 97%. Sorbent analysis showed that steady-state conditions reached chemical sorbent.
- Scale-up and engineering of 20 MWₜₜₜ pilot plant. The CCL process is being scaled up to a 20 MWₜₜₜ pilot plant hard coal power plant as the host site in France. The process configuration was defined as well as heat and mass balances for design case and various load cases were created. The design of reactors as well as auxiliary systems and measurement equipment is ongoing.
- The SCARLET project has identified the technical and economic integration of CCL into a commercial power plant, a steel plant, or a cement plant to optimize performance and minimise technical risks, targeting efficiency, reliability, and operability [30, 31].

**Type** | **Innovation action (pilot)**
---|---
**Partners** | Leader: TU Darmstadt (DE)
Lime: Lhoist

**Funding** | EU/FP7
Total project: 7.3 Mill EUR
EU contribution: 4.7 Mill EUR

**Duration** | 04.2014 – 03.2017

**TRL** | Technology Readiness Level:
TRL 6

**Commercial deployment**

- **TRL 9** | Real world
- **TRL 8**
- **TRL 7**
- **TRL 6** | Simulated world
- **TRL 5**
- **TRL 4**
- **TRL 3**
- **TRL 2**
- **TRL 1** | Research lab

**Idea identified**

**Contribution to**

Carbon looping – Lime technology – CCU.

**REFERENCES:**


### Scope of work

The stepwise carbonation of serpentinite, a rock composed mainly of magnesium silicate mineral serpentine reacts with the CO₂ to form a stable compound, thus fixing the CO₂ permanently. The reaction kinetics have received attention but the work done in Carbon Capture Storage Program (CCSP) is unique in having the minimization of energy input and chemicals use as starting point. The purpose of Carbon Storage by Mineralisation (CSM) is to promote CO₂ fixation by metal oxides into thermodynamically stable carbonates while benefiting of the exothermicity of the carbonation reaction. Application of the mineral carbonation process at an industrial lime kiln was investigated in a pilot plant as part of the CCSP in Finland.

### Status of the project

Project finalized in 2016. The following achievements can be reported:

- The recent study shows that operating at 80 bar carbonation pressure with ~22% vol CO₂ flue gas without capture, mineral sequestration may be accomplished at an energy penalty of 0.9 GJ/t CO₂ electricity besides 2.6 GJ/tCO₂ heat which can be extracted from the kiln gas [32].
- Direct mineralisation of flue gas instead of separated and compressed CO₂ eliminates the need of ex-pensive and energy intensive processes to isolate and compress CO₂, thus significantly lowering the materials and energy requirements for the overall CCS process chain [32, 34].
- An exergy analysis is used to optimise process layout and energy efficiency, and at the same time maximise the amount of CO₂ that can be bound to MgCO₃ given the amount of waste heat available from the lime kiln.
- Also, experimental results are reported for producing Mg(OH)₂ (and Fe, Ca(OH)₂) from local rock material.
- Operating without CO₂ separation makes CSM an attractive and cost-competitive option when compared to conventional CCS involving underground storage of CO₂.

### Contribution to

Carbon dioxide storage by mineralisation (CSM) – Lime kiln – Process emissions – Multiple policy objectives.

### REFERENCES:

**INNOVATIVE CCU • Storage of renewable energy by combination of "Oxyfuel Process" with CO₂-looping**

**Scope of work**
Usage of ~70 MWh electricity/day (working day) in Germany.
Available capacity of renewables: 80 MWh (incl sun & wind) => government target 150 MWh/day => however even actual capacity in not fully available (volatility!) cost in Germany > 1 bn. €/a. for shutdown of not usable wind energy => storage of renewable energy is key for success of “Energie-Wende”. This project aim is to assess the feasibility for energy storage and use of 100% CO₂ for innovative CCU process.

**Status of the project**
(use parts of above mentioned 1bn€ for innovative CCU process)
1. Construction upscale of hydrogen electrolysis sites (hydrogen (H) and oxygen (O)) using renewable energy.
2. Operation of an innovative kiln process:
   - Insertion of oxygen from electrolysis into kiln for firing fossils – no air supply! However very high flame temperature (2000 °C) => cooling necessary! – dilution of O₂ by CO₂ / insert pure CO₂ into cooling zone from loop => CO₂ for cooling and firing <=> CO₂ loop.
   - The entire CO₂ is concentrated, pure CO₂ in exhaust gas => optimized CCU-process.
   - No energy intensive stripping with additional CO₂ is needed.
   - CO₂ usage with hydrogen => Methane (CH₄)-Mechanization => gas for burning process and storage of substantial quantities of renewables into natural gas grid.
Financial / technical / industrial support is needed for development of the process: hydrogen electrolysis / recuperator / optimization dedusting CO₂.

**Contribution to**
Storage of volatile renewable energy as synthesized methane in natural gas stores reduction of cost for shut down of wind energy – Energy storage – Carbon capture and use – Renewable energy into national / EU grid – Multiple policy objectives.

---

**Type** | **Innovation action**
---|---
| (New plant / New process / technology validation)

**Partners**
Leader/Lime: Fels (DE) (partners are evaluated)

**Funding**
Required financial support ~ 40 Mio. €

**Duration**
2017 – ongoing

**TRL**
Technology Readiness Level: TRL 1-2

---

**Oxyfuel process with CO₂ loop.**
4. Improvement in Energy Efficiency

- ADiREN4Lime
- EcoLOOP
- WHheatRec4PG
- Other company projects/initiatives
INNOVATION IN THE LIME SECTOR

INNOVATION
IN THE LIME
SECTOR

Scope of work
Lime processing needs large amount of energy (kiln, hydrator, crushers, mill). Objective:
• Reduce energy costs and reliance on grid electricity and gas.
• Invest in gas or electricity generating projects.
• 2013 decision made to build an Anaerobic Digester (AD) to meet these objectives.
• AD is a process where micro-organisms break down some organic biomass in anaerobic conditions to produce biogas, CH₄ + CO₂.
• The methane can be used to produce electricity or upgraded to Biomethane for injection into the gas grid and can be used as a fuel for lime kilns.

Status of the project
Project finalized in 2015. Birch Energy financed, managed, operates the AD installation in a restored area of the former quarry operations. The following achievements can be reported:
• Built in 2 phases: 1: 1.25MW Combined Heat and Power (CHP); Phase 2: 2 MW CHP plus 1.5 MW drier.
• Uses 45,000 tonnes of feedstock annually.
• Combined output of the 3 AD plants is 110% of Singleton Birch’s electricity demand.
• Grid connection with capacity to export 100% of electricity to grid and generates 15,000 GWhrs of electricity per annum.
• Dryer using waste heat from the CHP engines to dry digestate as a high value fertiliser [35].
• Employs 5 people.

Contribution to

REFERENCES:
Improvement in Energy Efficiency

ECOLOOP  •  Ecological Looping

xella.be/nl/docs/XELLA_GB_NHB2014_ES.pdf

Scope of work
Lime processing needs large amount of energy (crushers, kiln, mill, hydrator). The objective of the ecoloop project is to assess the generation of gas from synthetic waste (syngas) as an energy surplus. This energy related project, apart the reduction of energy cost and dependency also provides environmental benefits such as reduction of residual waste thanks to waste to energy transformation. This approach was tested in one German site.

Status of the project
Fels is overlooking the trial for the ecoloop installation at an industrial facility. The following achievements can be reported:
- Ecoloop achieves a higher thermal efficiency compared with other processes, meaning that energy can be generated from a lower volume of residual waste, resulting in CO2 Emission reduction.
- Use of syngas results in significant lower production cost compared to fossil fuels.
- Waste disposal costs are reduced since Ecoloop transforms waste to energy as the most cost-efficient option.
- For specific applications, by-product recovery such as metals and phosphor is also possible.
- Ecoloop process is environmentally friendly since: 1. The lime serves as "chlorine sink" for the chlorine found in residual waste. 2. The formation of dioxins and furans is prevented since no combustion takes place at the reactor but only gasification, making flue gas scrubbing unnecessary.
- Ecoloop environmentally friendly nature is recognized through two awards it has received: 1. German Innovation Prize for Climate and the Environment (IKU) and 2. Hugo Junkers Innovation Prize.
- The Ecoloop 32 MWatt pilot plant is located at the Kaltes Tal site and is currently at commissioning stage [36].

Contribution to

Supply and return bucket elevator for the lime circuit and RDF delivery.

REFERENCES:
WHEATREC4PG • Waste Heat Recovery for Power Generation

theenergyst.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/05/theenergyst_0516-web.pdf

Scope of work
Lime processing needs large amount of energy for the different multiple processing stages. The objective of energy intensive industry operators is to improve the overall energy efficiency, resulting in reducing the energy costs and the reliance on grid electricity. This are the drivers for the feasibility study of a Heat recovery system installation in lime operations:
• Waste heat recovery systems integrate organic rankine cycle (ORC) technology into renewable heat sources, industrial kilns and furnaces.
• The ERC generator can convert waste heat temperatures as low as 85°C into electricity.
• Waste heat from heat intensive industrial processes can be recovered by: 1. High temperature hot water above 85°C. 2. Saturated steam above 6 bar. 3. Exhaust gas above 130°C.
• These sources of waste heat are fitted with a heat exchanger designed for the application.

Status of the project
Project is already operational. The following can be reported:
• A waste heat to power system was commissioned in September 2013 at Lhoist-Steeley Dolomite facility in Thrislington-UK.
• The WHRP system recovers 4 MW of thermal power from a rotary kiln exhaust gas, and converts it to 0.5 MWe of low carbon electrical power.
• The new system delivered 25% improvement in electrical efficiency of the plant.
• it can generate net power of around 3,000 MWh annually, equivalent to 7,500 hours of carbon-free electricity.
• In total, kiln CO₂ emissions will be reduced by 1,600 tonnes per year.
• The project offers an attractive return on investment, when considering £1.3m investment against purchasing 3,000 MWh per year of electricity from the grid over the next 10 years [37, 38].
**Service Innovation**

- **Lime Audit**
  Some companies have established expert teams amongst their Application & Development teams, who conduct a “Lime Audit” at preferably larger customers sites. The goal is to locate potential shortcomings in Lime handling and day-to-day operational use by the local operators. Surveys include transport to the site, storage facilities, conveying, charging, discharging and weighing equipment. All too often insufficient attention is paid locally and apparent specific consumption increases, e.g. Lime exposure to humidity and Lime ending up as hydrate in the dedusting facilities, or, the generation of fines in lump Lime handling.

**Process Innovation**

- **Heat recovery in single shaft kilns**
  Energy losses induced by the off gas temperature exiting Lime kilns. To tackle some of these losses, Maerz-Ofenbau AG has developed a process incorporating heat exchangers as described in patent EP1148311 81 “Process for firing a material containing carbonates”. According to the patent, part of the “combustion air to be supplied by means of the burning lances is heated within the preheating zone by being passed through heat exchange tubes which are positioned parallel to the shaft wall, distributed over the kiln cross-section and suspended in the preheating area of the kiln”. Fels-Werke in Germany currently installs a different device but the principle is the same.

- **Biomass in PFR kilns**
  Process innovation is emerging with PFR kilns, which are capable of combusting biomass rather than fossil fuels. Some 14 PFR kilns, two (captive) rotary kilns and one projected (captive) rotary kiln are known to be reengineered or newly built where finely ground wood is in use. Two more kilns are fuelled with cork. For the time being, wood and even contaminated wood is thought to be the easiest to handle and combust, but investigations also target olive stones; coconut cores, sugar cane, jatropha nuts and rice hull.

- **Lean gas in PFR kilns**
  PFR kilns are normally designed to combust natural gas with net calorific values around 48 MJ/Nm³. Coke oven gas (16-35 MJ/Nm³) is also in use where Lime installations operate adjacent to steel mills. Current research focuses on reengineering the gaseous flows in a PFR kiln and make it capable to utilize lean gases which come with net calorific values of 7,5 MJ/Nm³ and less. The research has originally been triggered since existing sources like converter gas (8-12 MJ/Nm³) and/or blast furnace gas (4-6 MJ/Nm³) are available. Maerz-Ofenbau AG has accompanied a Chinese steel manufacturer who successfully operates a 500 tpd PFR kiln with lean gas of less than 5 MJ/Nm³. Such lean gases also originate from installations producing biogas, sewage gas, landfill gas, etc. In future it might be an attractive symbiosis to combine a biogas plant dedicated to the supply of lean gas to a Lime plant.

- **Oxy fuel combustion in PFR kilns**
  Off gases of Lime kilns normally contain CO₂ in the order of shortly less than 20% to shortly above 40% (by dry volume). The concentration is basically a function of fuel in use and amount of air intake. The lowest concentrations result from burning natural gas while the highest occur from burning coke. In 2010 Messer Group was granted a patent “Verfahren und Vorrichtung zur Kalkherstellung” (publication number: EP 2 230 223 A1),
followed by a patent granted to Maerz-Ofenbau AG in 2012 “Device and method for combusting and/or calcining fragmented material” (publication number: WO2012/072332). Both patents target the combustion of oxygen rather than air in Lime kilns. Similar to theoretical projects in other industries, this will enlarge the CO₂ concentration and thus ease a potential CO₂ stripping from the off-gases. These reflections are at a very early stage of development. Oxy fuel combustion substantially increases the temperature of the kiln atmosphere, which will be harmful to Lime, as sintering immediately will occur. Other industries tackle the issue by recycling part of the off gas into the combustion chamber and use it as a cooling agent. This is also possible in a Lime kiln but needs far more engineering and process control. The recycled gas flow may not get in contact with the Lime. If so, immediate recarbonation will take place and the Lime quality will be diluted.

On the other hand, post combustion CO₂ capture does not represent an option. EuLA has awarded the Netherlands Organisation for Applied Scientific Research (TNO) to elaborate a techno-economic evaluation for post-combustion CO₂ capture in lime production plants. The outcome is not at all promising. The energy demand for the additional plant almost doubles the energy per tonne of Lime, which is unfeasible and unacceptable as such. The additional cost incurred will double the total manufacturing cost.
5. Innovation in Use Phase

- Mortar: ECO-SEE
- Mortar: ISOBIO
- Mortar: Compact mortar pellets
- Mortar: Hempcrete
- Steel: ULCOS
- Steel: LIMEFLOW Steel
- FGT: Lime pellets for marine SOx reduction
- FGT: Lime in FGT and WTE
- Civil Engineering: HMA LCA study
**ECO-SEE • Eco-innovative, Safe and Energy Efficient wall panels and materials for a health-ier indoor environment**

eco-see.eu

**Scope of work**
The project aims to:
- Use natural eco-materials for healthier indoor environments through hygrothermal (heat and moisture) regulation and the removal of airborne contaminants through both chemical capture and photocatalysis.
- Advancing state of the art in the technology and application of multifunctional bio-based insulation materials, vapour permeable and hygrothermal and moisture buffering finishes, together with wood panel and lime products, to create both internal partition and external highly insulated wall panels.
- Novel chemical treatments and processes will be used to enhance volatile organic compound capture capacities of materials.
- Development of highly novel photocatalytic coatings using nanoparticle technology, which will be suitable for use in interior spaces and compatible with lime and wooden surface materials.

**Status of the project**
Project ongoing until 2017. The following progress can be reported:
- Successful developed to demonstration stage a range of innovative construction materials to improve comfort.
- Innovative materials include: photocatalytic treated wood panel and lime plaster systems, clay and lime based plasters with enhanced moisture buffering properties.
- A range of designs for external (insulating) and internal wall panels have also been produced. Combined these innovative products will deliver solutions with significantly reduced embodied energy and through design achieve longer life at lower build cost.
- Techno-economic analysis, and Life Cycle (Cost) Analysis will be conducted as well to assess environmental benefits and costs [39, 40].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Innovation action (pilot)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leaders</td>
<td>Leader: Bath University (UK)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lime</td>
<td>BCB Lhoist Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Funding</strong></td>
<td>EU/FP7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total project</td>
<td>9.4 Mill EUR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU contribution</td>
<td>6.5 Mill EUR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Duration</strong></td>
<td>09.2013 – 08.2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TRL Technology Readiness Level:** TRL 6-7

**Commercial deployment**
- **Idea identified**
- **Research lab**
- **Simulated world**
- **Real world**

**Contribution to**

**REFERENCES:**
Innovation in Use Phase

**ISOBIO • Natural High Performance Insulation**

isobioproject.com

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Innovation action (pilot)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Partners</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leader: TWI (UK)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lime: BCB Lhoist Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding</td>
<td>EU/H2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total project: 6.3 Mill EUR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EU contribution: 5.5 Mill EUR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>02.2015 – 01.2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRL</td>
<td>Technology Readiness Level: TRL 6-7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Scope of work**
The ISOBIO project aims to develop a new approach to insulating materials through the novel combination of existing bio-derived aggregates (i.e. straw, clay, wheat or grasses) with low embodied carbon with innovative binders to produce durable composite construction materials with:

- Lower embodied energy and carbon 50% compared with traditional oil based insulation panels.
- Increase thermal insulation compared with traditional systems by at least 20%.
- Reduce costs by at least 15% over traditional systems, thanks to the vertical integration from raw material production through to finished systems.

**Status of the project**
Project ongoing until 2019. The following progress can be reported:

- The new materials improve upon the performance of conventional materials, they also offer new features. Hemp shiv, which is the core of the hemp stalk, for example, has a porous structure that provides moisture buffering to maintain humidity at a more constant level.
- The ISOBIO materials take advantage of the natural moisture sorption/desorption characteristics of bio-based materials, which is known to passively manage the indoor environment resulting in improved indoor air and environmental quality, whilst at the same time reducing the demand for air conditioning.
- As part of its life cycle analysis, the project is analysing over 100 existing materials.
- On the supply side, sourcing local organic materials helps reduce transportation costs, while using waste or byproducts as inputs helps control the cost of the final product [41].

**Contribution to**

---

**REFERENCES:**

---

Schematic view of the project upscale stages.
COMPACT MORTAR PELLETS – Dust-free building site using Compact mortar pellets

fels.de/de/moertel-pellets.php

Scope of work
In the building sector employers need to reduce workers’ exposition to comply with Occupational Exposure Limits (OEL). In Germany, these are set by TRGS 900 (Technical rule hazardous substances) and have been made more restrictive for dust exposure in 2014. The limit for alveolar dust – previously was 3 mg/m³ – now it is 1.25 mg/m³:
- In building industry, only 50% of all measurements comply with < 2.0 mg/m³. This applies for Germany, according to “Justification of new general dust limit in TRGS 900”, Ausschuss für Gefahrstoffe 2014.
- Transitory period until 2018 unless no suitable products are available.

Status of the project
To address this challenge, the research and development is finalized and the product “low-dust compacted mortar pellets” have won and award [42] and will be on the market in summer 2017. The following advantages of the novel product can be reported:
- Pouring the compact mortar in the mixing recipient reduces drastically the dust and minimizes the worker exposure.
- Total self-dissolution time is 90 sec and results in a very homogeneous mixture ready to use.
- Up to 20% more efficient than ordinary mortar.
- New, water-resistant premium packaging, also for outside storage.

Contribution to
Mortar – Eco material – Low dust exposure – Occupational hazard management – Product innovation.

REFERENCES:
HEMPCRETE
Hemp-lime Based Construction Materials – an Ecological, Sustainable and Carbon Negative Solution

carmeuse-construction.com/your-applications/building-materials/hempbuilding

Scope of work
Residential and commercial buildings are the world’s biggest energy consumers and CO₂ emitters. In the European Union, the buildings consume about 40% of the Union Energy. More than 50% of the building energy consumption goes for heating/cooling. To make EU buildings energy efficient the focus is to increase the market value of green construction materials. The objective of this project is to illustrate how hemp-lime based construction material can respond to these EU multifaceted policy objectives.

Status of the project
Project was terminated in 2015. Product on the market in Multiple EU countries (BE, NL. RO). Key deliverables of the project consist of:

• 100% of the hemp plant can be used in many different applications such as textiles, plastics, food products, papers, oils, paints, building material. The later, accounting for around 60% of the hemp, fibers and shives.
• Grows quickly and locally with one of the highest yielding – 4 to 6 months from seeding till harvest – without need of pesticide chemicals.
• 1 kg of dry hemp stores 0,38 kg of carbon, equivalent to 1,4 kg CO₂.
• Mixing lime with hemp, improves comfort and health thanks to better breathability. no condensation build-up. lower thermal conductivity. antibacterial activity. more flexibility than concrete, which reduces cracks.
• Other benefits.
• Durability: stronger than conventional fibers such as glass and rock fibers since it can be used for inner/outer masonry and/or insulation.
• Carbon sequestration during the growth and Carbon negative footprint & sustainable during use phase in a building [43].

Contribution to
Mortar – Eco material – Carbon capture and storage – Product innovation.

REFERENCES:
ULCOS • Ultra-Low CO₂ Steel Making
ulcos.org/en/index.php

Scope of work
ULCOS is a major RTD program, which plans to find innovative and breakthrough solutions to decrease the CO₂ emissions of the Steel industry. The target is reduction of specific CO₂ emissions of 50% as compared to a modern Blast Furnace. The project aims to deliver a concept process route, based on iron ore, with a verification of its feasibility in terms of technology, economic projections and social acceptability. The project hence starts by assessing a panel of technologies, which have passed a first prescreening but need to be investigated further. This approach is believed to be the most efficient in terms of resources and lead-time necessary to develop the new technology.

Status of the project
Project finalized in 2010. The following achievements can be reported [44]: ULCOS has tested four process concepts that could lead to a reduction of Carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions by more than half compared to current best practice. The four breakthrough technologies identified are:

• Top Gas Recycling Blast Furnace with CO₂ Capture and Storage (CCS): this removes the CO₂ and recycles the carbon monoxide (CO) back into the blast furnace, potentially using less than half the emissions of today’s state-of-the-art blast furnaces. ULCOS has already successfully pilot tested top gas recycling at an experimental blast furnace that produces 35 tonnes of steel per day in Luleå, Sweden.
• HIsarna with CCS: this processes iron ore almost directly into steel, thus skipping the manufacturing of pig iron pellets. More energy-efficient than traditional steelmaking processes, it could reduce the carbon footprint by up to 20%.
• ULCORED with CCS: producing direct-reduced iron (DRI) from iron ore with a reducing gas.
• Electrolysis: already used in industrial nickel, aluminium and zinc production. It could eventually replace the blast furnace altogether by passing an electric charge through an alkaline solution to separate the iron from iron ore.

REFERENCES:
Steel is one of the main markets where lime is used. The challenge of the market is to improve the flowability of the lime injection during the steelmaking. Project objectives were to improve the flowability of lime as well as the handling system delivering better performance and efficiency during the steel making.

**Status of the project**

Project finalized in 2008. Key deliverables of this project address the technology development and innovation aspects:

- Multiple point injectors located close to the slag allows lime dispersion into the slag/metal interface.
- Since 100% of lime is managed by pneumatic injection, the lime flow management is easier [45].
- Small particles of quality dolomitic lime and high calcium lime delivered into the molten slag/metal interface using injection technology.
- These particles quickly dissolve providing the steelmaker very responsive control of slag chemistry.
- Due to automatization, the control of lime additions compared to other methods of lime additions is easier.
- Reduced cost related to maintenance compared to mechanical handling systems [46].
- 10% reduced lime loss, due to baghouse and lower disposal.
- Cleaner environment and improved safety for workers is also achieved [47].

**Contribution to**

Steel technology – Resource use optimization – Improved H&S – Multiple policy objectives.

**REFERENCES:**

LIME PELLETS
FOR MARINE SOX REDUCTION

doi.org/10.15480/882.1216

Innovation in Use Phase

Scope of work
55,000 merchant ships annually account for more than 90% of global trade volume: 22 Mill tonnes SO₂ per year. The sulphur emission corresponds to approx. 400 large coal-fired power plants without flue gas treatment. As from 2015, in the emission control areas (ECA) the allowed sulphur content in marine fuels is reduced down to 0.1%. For the North Sea and the Baltic Sea, this for example, corresponds to 500 thousand tonnes. The current sulphur threshold for global shipping is at 3.5%, but from 2020 on the maximum is reduced down to 0.5%.

In order to have the opportunity to run vessels with low cost heavy fuel oil, two existing gas cleaning systems are in usage: 1. Dry EGCS (Exhaust Gas Cleaning System) – hydrated lime pellets in a packed bed filter) and 2. Wet ECGS (sodium hydroxide solution).

Status of the project
The following results have been proven and can be reported:
• High efficient process and free of waste water and sludge. In contrast to the wet scrubbers, the sulphur is bounded and not released into the hydrosphere. Moreover, this environmentally friendly reacted product, responds to numerous other industries.
• Installation of subsequent catalytic reduction of NOₓ is possible without reheating the flue gas. Efficiency of SO₂ removal > 95% reliably achieved in successful large-scale trials on container and bulk vessels since 2014.
• Further usage of cost-effective heavy oil.
• Due to the compact design, a subsequent installation on existing vessels is possible with comparatively little effort and cost-effectiveness – attractive.
• The Dry EGCS process stands for comparatively low investment and operating costs. The return of investment (RoI) is within 3-4 years.
• Eco-friendly reaction products, oxidation of soot on alkaline pellets.
• No shifting of the pollutants from the atmosphere to the hydrosphere [48, 49].

Type | Innovation Action (product)
--- | ---
Partners | Leader/Lime: Fels (DE)
Funding | Own initiative (DE)
Total project: not reported
Duration | 2011 – 2013
TRL Technology Readiness Level: TRL 7-8

Commercial deployment
TRL 9 | Real world
TRL 8 | Simulated world
TRL 7 | Research lab
TRL 6
TRL 5
TRL 4
TRL 3
TRL 2
TRL 1

Idea identified

Sulphur absorption core before (left) and after (right).

Contribution to

REFERENCES:
In recent years, several waste-to-energy plants in Italy have experienced an increase of the concentration of acid gases (HCl, SO₂ and HF) in the raw gas. This is likely due to a progressive decrease of the amount of treated municipal waste, which is partially replaced by commercial waste which is characterised by a higher variability of its chemical composition because of the different origins, with possible increase of the load of chlorine (Cl), fluorine (F) and sulphur (S). To address this challenge, intensive long-lasting tests were performed in four waste-to-energy installations in Italy using a specific dolomitic sorbent as a pre-cleaning stage, to be directly injected at high temperature in the combustion chamber.

**Scope of work**

Project finalized in 2012. The following results can be reported:
- By injecting around 6 kg of sorbent per tonne of waste, the decrease of acid gases concentration downstream the boiler was in the range of 7-37% (mean 23%) for HCl, 34-95% (mean 71%) for SO₂ and 39-80% (mean 63%) for HF [50].
- This pre-abatement of acid gases allowed to decrease the feeding rate of the traditional low temperature sorbent (sodium based) in all four tested plants by about 30%.
- Furthermore, it was observed by the plant operators that the sorbent helps to keep the boiler surfaces cleaner, with a possible reduction of the fouling phenomena and resulting in improved energy efficiency during the operation and reduce the climate change impact by 28% [50].
- LCA study underlines that is an eco-friendly and sustainable technology and in the comparison with the traditional operation 17 impact categories out of 19 are reduced [51].

**REFERENCES:**
HMA LCA STUDY • Life Cycle Assessment of Hot Mix Asphalt using lime to improve road durability & low carbon footprint

sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1361920916304631

Scope of work
The main objectives of this project were:
- Assess 110 publication/reports on the effect of lime in asphalt [48].
- Assess environmental footprint of all the life stages of a Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA) road (raw materials, transport, construction, maintenance, recycling, end of life) by means of life cycle assessment tools.
- Comparative assessment between classical HMA vs Modified HMA.

Status of the project
Project finalized in 2015. The following findings can be reported:
- Hydrate increases road durability with 25% based on science and testimonies from users [52].
- For the lifetime of the road, modified HMA has the lowest environmental footprint compared to classical HMA (43% less primary total energy consumption resulting in 23% lower GHG emissions) [53, 54].

Contribution to
Road durability – Sustainability – Circular economy – Traffic jam avoidance.

REFERENCES:
6. Innovation in Sustainability Tools

- STYLE
- LCI of Lime
STYLE • Sustainability Toolkit for easY Life-cycle Evaluation

spire2030.eu/style

Scope of work
The Sustainable Processing for Resource and Energy efficiency (SPIRE) Roadmap calls for an industry-focused study of current sustainability assessment approaches across the process industries, with the aim of identifying and promoting a suitable ‘toolkit’.
Project STYLE has three key objectives:
• Identify best practice in sustainability evaluation, across sectors and through value chains via inventory and classification of established approaches.
• Test and deliver a practical toolkit for sustainability evaluation of processes and products, spanning multiple sectors and easily usable by non-practitioners of LCA.
• Determine gaps, through critical assessment and validation, and identify future research needs to improve the toolkit and ensure broad applicability across sectors.

Status of the project
Project finalized in December 2016. Key deliverables of STYLE consist of:
• Inventory of known tools, methodologies and approaches for sustainability evaluation.
• Characterisation and assessment of a tools suitability & selection of tool(s) to be tested on industrial processes across multiple sectors.
• Critiques of the tools’ effectiveness, applicability and barriers to use via Toolkit framework.
• Critical analysis and identification of future research needs and steps required to increase uptake of tools across industry sectors and value chains via the STYLE Roadmap [55, 56].

Contribution to Sustainability tools – Cross sectoral – Sustainability assessment.

REFERENCES:

Ideal Toolkit Framework [55].

Recommendations Roadmap [56].
LCI OF LIME • Assessment of lime extraction and production in Europe through a Life Cycle Inventory approach


Scope of work
European Lime Association (EuLA) covers 95% of the European lime production in Europe. Lime is a versatile material which is used in many different applications, such as in steel, agriculture, environment, chemical industry and so on. Due to increasing demand about the environmental impacts of products placed on the market, EuLA has used a scientific and quantitative approach (i.e. Life Cycle standard series ISO 14040–14044) to answer the requests for the environmental footprint of lime products at the use phase but also to define management strategy at quarry/processing facility.

Status of the project
Project was finalized in 2011 and the following can be reported:
• Life cycle inventory (LCI) from cradle (limestone extraction) to gate (lime plant) in is based on data for the reference year 2007, which were provided from the different lime manufacturers across Europe.
• The outcome of the EuLA LCI study is the most comprehensive and representative set of European data provided from the lime manufacturers for the production of quicklime and hydrated lime, which covers up to 73% of the total European lime production.
• In accordance with the ISO requirements, the outcome of the study was validated by an external critical reviewer.
• This inventory provides valuable and reliable data also to downstream users intending to carry out their own LCA to cover their products. In the period 2011-2016 around 63 requests were responded at EuLA level.
• To assess the most recent environmental footprint of lime products, a revision of the EuLA LCI is ongoing during 2017 [57, 58].

REFERENCES:
7. Innovation in Carbonation

- Mortars
- Soil Stabilization
### Scope of work
The main objectives of this project were:
- Assess the literature on the carbonation of lime in mortar applications based on relevance, reliability and adequacy.
- Comparative assessment to highlight the differences in the environmental impact between various mortars/renders/lasters, and assess sensitivity of some parameters (e.g. lime content) on the results.

### Status of the project
Project finalized in 2012. The following findings can be reported:
- A carbonation front moves progressively from mortar surface exposed to the atmosphere to depth of the mortar. Carbonation levels in ancient and new air lime mortars varies generally in the range of 80% to 92% of the amount of hydrated lime used in the formulations [59].
- The carbonation front progresses around 190 mm for 100 years. Fastest carbonation rate is within the first years (i.e. 20 mm end of first 400d).
- The LCA results show that, the impact of the carbonation is the highest for the mortars or renders with the highest lime content. CO2 footprint is reduced by 3% (cement based mortars) to 17% (lime based mortars).
- Considering carbonation, will change the overall carbon footprint for the lifetime of mortars/plasters.

### Contribution to

### REFERENCES:
Scope of work
The use of lime in soil treatment is widely known to improve the quality of soils for Civil engineering application. The effect is lime is widely documented for its benefits, and the carbonation reaction although known, has not been quantified. The main objectives of this project were:

- Perform tests to a German road build 34 years ago to measure qualitatively and quantitatively the carbonation of lime in soil stabilization at a depth of 10 m. The selection of the site was relevant because similar study was performed 11 years after construction.
- The findings from the German study, were applied to a real soil stabilization project in France.

Status of the project
Project finalized in 2014. The following findings can be reported:

- The case study in a road in Germany, where the soil stabilization with lime was carried out 25 years ago indicated that carbonation rate is ranging between 35-40%. 10-15%: still available as free CaO and 50% is used for puzzolanic reactions. These results were obtained from the application of various techniques, such as X Ray Diffraction, Phenolphthalein as well as geochemistry modelling [61].
- When comparing: 1. Soil stabilisation with quicklime for the re-use of wet soils. 2. Natural drying of wet soils before re-use and 3. Replacement of wet soils by external suitable soils, the time to complete the works is shorter for option 1 and the cost savings by using lime soil treatment for the soil stabilization are in the range of 22% and 42% if compared to the natural drying (option 2) or Soil replacement (option 3) [62].

Contribution to

REFERENCES:
8. Innovation at End of Life

- LODOCAL
- P recovery
- PLASMETREC
- PLD
**LODOCAL ● Lime to sanitize sludge from waste water for use in agriculture**

Scope of work
The sludge originated by wastewater treatment plants are governed by very tight requirements through legislations/EU directives. However, they can be purified and later reused in the field as mulch or for composting. Faced with stronger requirements, the industry looks for viable alternatives to current uses, which enable them to sell this by-product.

Several studies have shown that adding lime to the sludge can eliminate pathogens. Specifically, lime can help to create physicochemical conditions which can stop the biological degradation of organic matter they contain, avoiding thus the production of odors.

The scope of the project was to demonstrate that the application of lime enables sludge sanitation, either for reuse for soil improvement as a sanitized agricultural amendment, or for regeneration of degraded environments without risk to plant, animal or human health.

Status of the project
Project finalized in 2011. The following results can be reported:
- The WWTP sludge treatment with lime, sanitizes, reduces the concentration of bacteria below the detection limits.
- Stabilizes the sludge in the long term by avoiding the decomposition of organic matter and reduces its moisture, thereby facilitating handling.
- Thus, the results obtained to date suggest that this study will provide a solution to sanitize the wastewater treatment plant sludge and that they may continue to apply with full guarantees of safety in agriculture.
- Propose a scheme of the facility needed to move the application to an industrial level, the size of which depend on both the type of sludge treatment plant and the volume to be processed [63, 64, 65].
- Sludge legislation must be agreed inside and between the countries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Innovation Action (product)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Partners</td>
<td>Leader/Lime: Calcinor (ES)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding</td>
<td>National INTEK-BERRI (ES)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>02.2009 – 12.2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRL</td>
<td>Technology Readiness Level: TRL 6-7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Commercial deployment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRL 9</th>
<th>TRL 8</th>
<th>TRL 7</th>
<th>TRL 6</th>
<th>TRL 5</th>
<th>TRL 4</th>
<th>TRL 3</th>
<th>TRL 2</th>
<th>TRL 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Contribution to**

REFERENCES:
In Germany, the current use of phosphate fertilizer is around 110,000 t/year. Average phosphate content in sludge is 4% to 6% (approx. 25,000 t phosphate p.a.) in Germany. Phosphate recovery from sewage water for utilization as fertilizer and usage of residual phases within the cement industry was tested. Through process optimization, the recovery of phosphate-containing phases with lime products, the use of metal salts can be minimized to maintain the run-off parameters.

Status of the project
Project finalized in 2016. The following achievements can be reported:
- Development of a crystallization process for recovering phosphate fertilizers from the waste water purification process with complete recovery of the remaining phases for use in the cement industry.
- Trials at the Giessen sewage treatment plant completed: Successful P recovery and fertilizer production.
- Plant tests at the University of Bonn, have demonstrated and validated the effectiveness and plant availability of P within the crystallization product [66].

Contribution to
P recovery – Sewage sludge treatment & management – Fertilizer industry – Agriculture – Co-incineration processes.

REFERENCES:
Scope of work
The circular economy objective is to valorize the industrial waste through the recycling and ensure that secondary raw materials (especially non-ferrous metals and critical raw materials) become part of the value chain. Reverse Metallurgy Public Private Partnership (PPP) responds to these strategic objectives of Wallonia region in Belgium, which objective is to become a center of excellence for the recycling and recovery of non-ferrous and critical raw materials.

The objective of Reverse metallurgy lies in the technology development for plasma oven to process a multitude of secondary raw materials and valorize non-ferrous materials, some of them being critical, for the European industry. Hydrometallurgical treatments are carried out upstream of the oven to improve the quality of end products.

Status of the project
Project ongoing until 2021. The following objectives can be reported:

- Commission a pilot facility consisting of oven, gas treatment and metal feed and concentration units on the Hydrometal site in Engis.
- Investigate multiple non-ferrous waste flows at CRM and assess technical and economic feasibility at the pilot facility.
- Carmeuse will contribute with the expertise on flue gas treatment to minimize the polluted emissions.
- University of Liege will contribute with the expertise on mineral characterization.
- The ultimate objective will be to adapt and optimize the functioning of the pilot facility that’s to the tests and identify the raw materials to feed the industrial facility in the future [67, 68, 69].

Contribution to

REFERENCES:
Innovation at End of Life

PLD • Paul Wurth – Lhoist Deoiling process

pld-life.eu

Type Innovation action (process)

Partners Leader: Paul Wurth (LU) Lime: Lhoist

Funding EU/LIFE Programme
Total project: 13 Mill EUR
EU contribution: 4.9 Mill EUR

Duration 09.2012 – 09.2015

TRL Technology Readiness Level: TRL 5

Scope of work

The main objectives of the PLD project are:

• De-oiling of oily material by means of an innovative low-temperature and auto-thermal process using lime and testing at full industrial scale.

• Recycle of PLD end-product in sinter plant as substitute of raw material (iron ore) and reduce steelmaking waste amount.

• Improving energy consumption in comparison with existing processes.

• Reduce soil and water pollution related to waste landfiling.

• Application to worldwide iron and steelmaking industry.

• 100% of PLD end-product into sinter plant as substitute of iron ore.

• Adapt PLD process to other oily waste.

Status of the project

Project finalized in 2015. The following achievements can be reported:

• By means of the pilot test, efficiency of PLD process efficiency was proven at Paul Wurth lab and efficient de-oiling rate was achieved to recover pure iron ore fines.

• Market analysis, focusing on securing sufficient oily sludge supply from different industrial sites to operate the PLD pilot plant.

• Identification of the site to construct the PLD plant has been selected and local authorities have been approached to start construction permit application.

• Due to the long delay compared to the initial LIFE project time schedule, the low amount of oily by-products available and the refusal of the local authorities to issue a construction permit due to urban reasons, the partners were forced to end the project in May 2015 [70, 71].

Contribution to


REFERENCES:


9. Future Technological Innovation Priorities for the lime sector
9. Future Technological Innovation Priorities for the lime sector

The lime industry sector although small is an important sector due to its enabling nature and embedded in different value chains. Being an energy intensive sector, (70% of its CO$_2$ emissions are due to the decarbonation of the raw material), the lime industry’s first priority is to find ways to mitigate those. As you can see from these brochure work has been done by the sector to address this challenge through multiple innovation actions/projects.

However, still a lot remains to be done. Finance to cover high risk investment from TRL 6 to TRL 9, is necessary to industrialize pilot findings. Technically and economically feasible CCU development could provide a sustainable solution for these emissions.

In a recent assessment, the lime industry agreed to focus on projects providing solution on getting the CO$_2$ in some form of fuel and make it part of the fuel chain, as for instance:
- Bioethanol.
- Biomass.
- Oxyfuel.

Few ideas for future innovation projects could be:
- Increase CO$_2$ concentration e.g. by looping.
- Indirect calcination.
- Methanisation.
- Low concentration CO$_2$ => Direct use for e.g. plant/algae/bacteria growth/feeding or flue gas cleaning.
- Combination with Oxyfuel process.
- Carbonation of mortars.
- Carbon dioxide Storage by Mineralisation (CSM).
- Storage of renewable energy by combination of Lime “Oxyfuel Process” with CO$_2$ – looping and methanization.
- Marine diesel desulphurization.

European Lime Industry is committed to provide sustainably produced products always caring about nature preservation, climate change mitigation technologies, energy efficient processes, continuous improvements of technology and innovation and health and safety at work place thus accompanying the current pathway towards an economically robust circular economy.
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Annex 2: Technology Readiness Levels (TRL scale)

Where a topic description refers to a TRL, the following definitions apply, unless otherwise specified [72]:

- **TRL 1** – basic principles observed.
- **TRL 2** – technology concept formulated.
- **TRL 3** – experimental proof of concept.
- **TRL 4** – technology validated in lab.
- **TRL 5** – technology validated in relevant environment (industrially relevant environment in the case of key enabling technologies).
- **TRL 6** – technology demonstrated in relevant environment (industrially relevant environment in the case of key enabling technologies).
- **TRL 7** – system prototype demonstration in operational environment.
- **TRL 8** – system complete and qualified.
- **TRL 9** – actual system proven in operational environment (competitive manufacturing in the case of key enabling technologies, or in space).

**REFERENCES:**
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